

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,942	09/19/2003	Peng Wang	13905-019001 / 2003P00659	8436
22852 759	90 11/20/2006		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			CAO, PHUONG THAO	
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-4413			
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,942	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Phuong-Thao Cao	2164	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.
time periods:
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li></ul>
appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-54</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
CHARLES RONES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Applicant's argument that the maintenance file of Goerke does not anticipate or suggest the internal document since the internal document is an "internal" document which is not sent between two entities and the internal document is used to produce a file with valid content and it is that file which is then sent to, for example, a bank, this argument is incorrect because the claim language does not specify the internal document as such and show no relationship between an internal document and a file as claimed. In claim 31, a claim limitation "producing an internal document that includes the valid content data extracted from the updated record" recites an internal document only as a document that includes valid content data, so any document or file including updated information can be interpreted as an internal document as claimed. As a result of showing no relationship between an internal document and a file, an internal document that includes the valid content data and a file that includes the valid content can be both considered as maintenance file which contains valid data extracted from one system (company) to update plan information in another system (Plan Administrator) as disclosed in Goerke, pg. 19, since they have the same functionality.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Goerke is silent with respect to "the valid content data corresponding to content data having a validity period including the predetermined time", Goerke teach a schema diagram on page 20 wherein StockPlan data is equivalent to Applicant's "content data" and data of two attributes "ValidFrom" and "ValidTo" forms a validity period for the Stock Plan data which can include any predetermined time as illustrated in Applicant's claim language.

B Novemberox